

From: **Jackie Cota** <jackiescota@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Jul 1, 2021 at 7:12 PM

Subject: Re: Response to your insult and providing you with LAW citations and Science

To: <cvanschaack@lvjUSD.org>

Cc: <kbowers@lvjUSD.org>, <cbuenotrustee@lvjUSD.org>, <yquzmantrustee@lvjUSD.org>, <eprussotrustee@lvjUSD.org>, <kwangtrustee@lvjUSD.org>, <awhitetrustee@lvjUSD.org>

Link to Dr. Robert Malone interview: [mRNA VACCINE INVENTOR CALLS FOR STOP OF COVID VAX](#)

On Jul 1, 2021, at 7:06 PM, Jackie Cota <JackieSCota@gmail.com> wrote:

Mr. Van Schaack

I am responding to your insulting accusation that our request to unmask the children is both “foolish and arrogant”. The public “health officials” are violating LAW. They are NOT virologists or immunologists who on a regular basis see patients. They are operating on propaganda and lies pushed down to them by illegal ‘mandates and orders’ by the corrupt governor. The governor was precluded from making anymore executive orders because he was SUED and We The People won. Therefore he is relying on pushing illegal ‘orders’ by health officials. Another comment from you stating that We The People simply read articles and watched YouTube videos as our guidance. Maybe that is how you proceed and justify your illegal actions but We The People, advocating for children, have science to back us up from credible virologists, immunologists, peer reviewed medical studies and in person meetings with medical professionals.

First your claim to only be doing digital meetings is based on an Executive order N-25-20 does not hold weight. The board is in violation of this order. An Order is NOT a law. The Brown Act is law and under the “order” you must provide “(ii) each teleconference location be accessible to the public”. Your teleconference is only available via ZOOM and you have not provided access to the public in a public location to ZOOM for those participants wishing to have access. The Library’s are not open to those without computers. Not everyone has a computer or access to ZOOM. Your teleconferences are not a public access channel live that allow for public comment. Participants are supposed to be given the opportunity and the ability to make public comment and view the broadcast. Many parents in the district do NOT have computers to be able to access these meetings. Furthermore according to California Health and Safety Code - HSC § 101080, it is incumbent upon you as a board to remind the Board of Supervisors and City Council that, “Whenever a local health emergency is declared by a local health officer pursuant to this section, the local health emergency shall not remain in effect for a period in excess of seven days unless it has been ratified by the board of supervisors,

or city council, whichever is applicable to the jurisdiction. The board of supervisors, or city council, if applicable, shall review, at least every 30 days until the local health emergency is terminated, the need for continuing the local health emergency and shall proclaim the termination of the local health emergency at the earliest possible date that conditions warrant the termination". The "emergency" has been OVER for well over a year. The fact that the public health agencies were using "cases" as determining factor to keep the public locked down and out of holding public meetings is a violation of LAW. According to DOCTOR Kary Mullis, who in 1993 won the Nobel Prize in chemistry for inventing the PCR test (later used to diagnose Covid19) has always stated that the "RT/PCR test being used worldwide by the WHO and government health agencies to test for COVID 19 was never to be used for infectious diseases." That's directly from Dr. Mullis. The fact that the corrupt bureaucrats are using this test to justify house arrest and masking is "foolish" and "irresponsible". If you do just a little bit of research, you will hear it directly from his mouth. But it seems like you prefer to do zero research and instead take the 'word' of corrupt unelected bureaucrats. However, we aren't surprised given your track record in the district.

Let us move on to the LVJUSD board illegal mask mandates. An "order" is NOT LAW. Promoting use of a non-FDA approved, Emergency Use Authorized masks, are unwarranted and illegal. Devices authorized under Emergency Use Authorization requires wearers to be informed of the **option to refuse the wearing of such "device" under 21 U.S.C. Section 360bbb-3€(1)(A)(ii)(I-III)**. That is the LAW sir. California Education Code §49005.8 prohibits the use of "...a physical restraint technique that obstructs a pupil's respiratory airway or impairs the pupil's breathing or respiratory capacity" or "a behavioral restraint technique that restricts breathing, including, but not limited to, using a pillow, blanket, carpet, mat, or other item to cover a pupil's face." It is a myth that masks prevent viruses from spreading. The overall evidence is clear: Standard cloth and surgical masks offer next to no protection against virus-sized particles or small aerosols.^[i] The size of a virus particle is much too small to be stopped by a surgical mask, cloth, or bandana. A single virion of SARS-CoV-2 is about 60-140 nanometers or 0.1 microns.^[ii] The pore size in a surgical mask is 200-1000x that size. Consider that the CDC website states, "surgical masks do not catch all harmful particles in smoke." And that the size of smoke particles in a wildfire are ~0.5 microns which is 5x the size of the SARS-CoV-2 virus! That's science.

There have been hundreds of mask studies related to influenza transmission done over several decades. It is a well-established fact that masks do not stop viruses. "Part of that evidence shows that cloth facemasks actually increase influenza-linked illness."^[iii] Bacteria are 50x larger than virus particles.^[iv] As such, virus particles can enter through the mask pores, yet bacteria remain trapped inside of the mask, resulting in the mask-wearer continually exposed to the bacteria. That's also science.

Related to the 1918-1919 influenza pandemic, there was almost universal agreement among experts, that deaths were virtually never caused by the influenza virus itself but resulted directly from severe secondary pneumonia caused by well-known bacterial "pneumopathogens" that colonized the upper respiratory tract.^[v] Corrupt Dr. Fauci and

his National Institute of Health studied pandemics and epidemics and concluded, “the vast majority of influenza deaths resulted from secondary bacterial pneumonia.”^[vi] Oh look.. that’s more science and a ‘study’ done by the corrupt Dr. Fauci. All parties mandating the use of facemasks are not only willfully ignoring established science but are engaging in what amounts to a whole school clinical experimental trial. This conclusion is reached by the fact that facemask use and COVID-19 incidence are being reported in scientific **opinion** pieces promoted by the CDC and others.^[vii] The fact is after reviewing ALL of the studies worldwide, the CDC found “no reduction in viral transmission with the use of face masks.”^[viii] Did you miss the Stanford study results of how dangerous masks are, especially to children? adverse physiological, psychological and health effects are established. It has been hypothesized that facemasks have compromised safety and efficacy profile and should be avoided from use. [This Stanford article](#) comprehensively summarizes scientific evidence with respect to wearing facemasks in the COVID-19 era, providing proper information for public health and decisions making. This study was published back on November 22, 2020. You’re a little behind in your research. Did you also miss the Danish study finalized in August 3, 2020? Where an actual Randomized controlled trial (DANMASK-19 [Danish Study to Assess Face Masks for the Protection Against COVID-19 Infection]). ([ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT04337541](#)). A total of 3030 participants were randomly assigned to the recommendation to wear masks, and 2994 were assigned to control; 4862 completed the study. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 occurred in 42 participants recommended masks (1.8%) and 53 control participants (2.1%). The between-group difference was -0.3 percentage point (95% CI, -1.2 to 0.4 percentage point; $P = 0.38$) (odds ratio, 0.82 [CI, 0.54 to 1.23]; $P = 0.33$). Multiple imputation accounting for loss to follow-up yielded similar results. Although the difference observed was not statistically significant, the 95% CIs are compatible with a 46% reduction to a 23% increase in infection. The finding was that the recommendation to wear surgical masks to supplement other public health measures did not reduce the SARS-CoV-2 infection rate among wearers by more than 50% in a community with modest infection rates, some degree of social distancing, and uncommon general mask use. The data were compatible with lesser degrees of self-protection. That’s also science. **Where’s your proof that masks are effective in preventing the spread of Covid19?** You have none. There’s been zero study on social distancing too. Did you know that was just a recommendation by Corrupt Dr. Fauci’s wife and has zero basis in science. Funny how those that benefit from their patents on a vaccine keep making fear based ‘guidelines’ that ultimately benefit them.

Wearing respirators come(s) with a host of physiological and psychological burdens. These can interfere with task performances and reduce work efficiency. These burdens can even be severe enough to cause life-threatening conditions if not ameliorated.^[ix] Fifteen years ago, National Taiwan University Hospital concluded that the use of N-95 masks in healthcare workers caused them to experience hypoxemia, a low level of oxygen in the blood, and hypercapnia, an elevation in the blood's carbon dioxide levels.^[x] Studies of simple surgical masks found significant reductions in blood oxygen as well. In one particular study, researchers measured blood oxygenation before and after surgeries in 53 surgeons. Researchers found the

mask reduced the blood oxygen levels significantly, and the longer the duration of wearing the mask, the greater the drop in blood oxygen levels.^[xi]

Furthermore, the mandatory mouth mask in schools is a major threat to a child's development. It ignores the essential needs of a growing child. The well-being of children and young people is highly dependent on the emotional connection with others. Masks create a threatening and unsafe environment, where emotional connection becomes difficult.^[xii]

In 1923, California enacted Penal Code Section 650a, which was reenacted and codified in 1953, as follows:

“It is a misdemeanor for any person, either alone or in company with others, to appear on any street or highway, or in other public places or any place open to view by the public, with his face partially or completely concealed by means of a mask or other regalia or paraphernalia, with intent thereby to conceal his identity. This section does not prohibit the wearing of such means of concealment in good faith for the purposes of amusement, entertainment or in compliance with any public health order.”

That's LAW sir. Thus, Penal Code Section 650a was a statute that prohibited the use of masks to conceal a person's face partially or completely. In *Ghafari v. Municipal Court*, 87 Cal. App. 3d 255, 262 (1978) the California Court of Appeals ruled that the Penal Code 650a was overbroad. The court held that rights of freedom of speech, peaceful assembly and free association under the First and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and are unquestionably protected activities which “lie at the foundation of a government based upon the consent of an informed citizenry...” (*Bates v. Little Rock* (1960) 361 U.S. 516, 522-523, 80 S.Ct. 412, 416, 4 L.Ed.2d 480; *Britt v. Superior Court* (1978) 20 Cal.3d 844, 852, 143 Cal.Rptr. 695, 574 P.2d 766.) The court agreed with appellants that the statute was overbroad on its face because it flatly prohibits anonymity under circumstances where these protected activities may be involved and because the restriction is not required by a compelling state interest nor is it implemented in the least restrictive manner possible. The court in *Ghaffari* held that mask mandates that prohibited the use of masks as unconstitutional violations of the First and Fourteenth Amendments.

California Health and Safety Code §109920 provides:

“Device” means any instrument, apparatus, implement, machine, contrivance, implant, in vitro reagent, or other similar or related article, including any component, part, or accessory, that is any of the following:

(a) Recognized in the official National Formulary or the United States Pharmacopoeia, or any supplement to them.

(b) Intended for use in the diagnosis of disease or other condition, or in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or any other animal.

(c) Intended to affect the structure or any function of the body of humans or any other animal and that does not achieve any of its principal intended purposes through chemical action within or on the body of humans or other animals and that is not dependent upon being metabolized for the achievement of any of its principal intended purposes.

Accordingly, masks for “the cure, mitigation, treatment or prevention of disease” are devices within the scope of 109920(b) Regardless of the lack of safety and efficacy behind the decision to require a child to wear a mask, it is illegal to mandate EUA approved investigational medical therapies without informed consent. Mask use for viral transmission prevention is authorized for Emergency Use only under 21 U.S.C §360bbb-3.^[xiii] Emergency Use Authorization by the FDA, means “the products are investigational and experimental” only.^[xiv] The statute granting the FDA the power to authorize a medical product of emergency use requires that the person being administered the unapproved product be advised of his or her right to refuse administration of the product.^[xv] This statute further recognizes the well-settled doctrine that medical experiments, or “clinical research,” may not be performed on human subjects without the express, informed consent of the individual receiving treatment.^[xvi]

INFORMED CONSENT IS REQUIRED FOR MEDICAL EXPERIMENTS IN CALIFORNIA.

The Nuremberg Code of Ethics in Medical Research (the “**Nuremberg Code of 1947**”) was developed after the trial of Nazi war criminals for unethical use of persons in medical experiments; subsequently, the Declaration of Helsinki additionally established recommendations guiding doctors in experimentation involving human subjects. The right to avoid the imposition of human experimentation is fundamental, rooted in the Nuremberg Code of 1947 and the Declaration of Helsinki, and further codified in the United States Code of Federal Regulations. In addition to the United States regarding itself as bound by these provisions, these principles were adopted by the FDA in its regulations requiring the informed consent of human subjects for medical research.^[xvii] The law is very clear; It is unlawful to conduct medical research (even in the case of emergency), unless steps taken to ... secure informed consent of all participants.^[xviii]

The California legislature has adopted principals of the Nuremberg Code of 1947 and the declaration of Helsinki by enacting “The Protection of Human Subjects in Medical Experimentation Act” (Health and Safety Code Sections 24170 – 24179.5). The Protection of Human Subjects in Medical Experimentation Act provides minimum statutory protection for the citizens of California with regard to human experimentation and to provide penalties for those who violate such provisions. The use of devices under California Health and Safety Code §109920 upon human subjects in the practice of medicine (the prevention of transmission of disease) that is not reasonable related to maintaining or improving the health or otherwise directly benefitting the subject are medical experiments covered by California Health and Safety Code §24174

That's LAW

Mandating masks that are subject to emergency use authorization under 21 U.S.C §360bbb-3 are “medical experiments” covered by California Health and Safety Code §24174(a) and California Health and Safety Code §24174(b). Such “medical experiments” **require written informed consent pursuant to Health and Safety Code §24172 and §24175.**

Furthermore, by requiring children to wear a mask, you are promoting the idea that the mask can prevent or treat a disease, which is an illegal deceptive practice. It is unlawful to advertise that a product or service can prevent...disease unless you possess competent and reliable scientific evidence... substantiating that the claims are true.[xix]

Masks are clearly a restraint technique that restrict breathing and respiratory capacity. The whole point seems to be filtration for inhaled and exhaled air. The fact that they are being mandated for “public health” reasons does not negate California Education Code §49005.8. The California legislature has had ample opportunity to repeal this section. Further, more than one year has elapsed since Governor Newsom declared a state of emergency on March 3, 2020. THERE IS NO EMERGENCY.

Now.. do I have to discuss with you our meetings with Dr. Judy Mikovits? We met with her on June 10, 2021. She explained to us the dangers of wearing masks and the experimental synthetic injection. Do you know who she is? Renowned Dr. Mikovits has a Joint PhD in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, George Washington University; Postdoctoral Scholar in Molecular Virology National Cancer Institute; Research Director of Whittemore Peterson Institute for Neuro-Immune Disease

Dr. Mikovits joined NIH in 1980 as a Postdoctoral Scholar in Molecular Virology at the National Cancer Institute and began a 20-year collaboration with Frank Ruscetti, a pioneer in the field of human retro virology. She aided Dr Russetti's effort to isolate the HIV virus and link it to AIDS in 1983. Her NIH boss Anthony Fauci delayed publication of that critical paper for 6 months to let his protégé Robert Gallo replicate, publish and claim credit. The delay in mass HIV testing let AIDS further spread around the globe and helped Fauci win promotion to director NIAID. In 2006, Dr Mikovits became director of Whittemore Peterson Institute for Neuro-Immune Disease and collaborated with Dr Ruscetti searching for the cause of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome which suddenly became epidemic in the 1980s. The male dominated medical community dismissed CFS as psychosomatic “yuppie flu” caused when fragile females cracked in corporate jobs.

Dr. Mikovits discovered that 67% of affected women carried a virus—called Xenotropic Murine Leukemia related Virus—that appeared in healthy women only 4% of the time.

XMRV is also associated with prostate, breast, ovarian cancers, leukemia, and multiple

myeloma. Many women with XMRV bore children with autism.

In 2009, Drs. Mikovits and Ruscetti published their explosive findings in the journal *Science*. But the question remained: how was XMRV getting into people? Other researchers linked the first CFS outbreak to a polio vaccine given to doctors and nurses that resulted in the "1934 Los Angeles County Hospital Epidemic." That vaccine was cultivated on pulverized mouse brains. Retroviruses from dead animals can survive in cell lines and permanently contaminate vaccines.

Dr Mikovits' studies suggested that the XMRV Virus was present in the MMR, Polio and Encephalitis vaccines given to American children and soldiers. XMRV is so hazardous that the mere presence of mouse tissue in a laboratory can contaminate other tissues in the same room.

Corrupt Dr Fauci ordered Mikovits to keep her mouth shut. When she refused, he illegally confiscated her workbooks and hard drives, drove her from government work and blackballed her from receiving NIH grants ending her science career. XMRV remains in American vaccines. Bet you didn't know that did you?

This is the corrupt medical community you are basing children's livelihood on. I bet Dr. Erica Pan or Nicholas Moss don't have Dr. Mikovits credentials. Have you even asked any of these questions of your so called "experts"? Apparently, you have not, and you have done ZERO research. But maybe you just read articles and watch YouTube videos.

Should we talk about Dr. Robert Malone, inventor of the mRNA technology? Here's a link to an interview on Bitchute (since YouTube bans this type of scientific truth) He gave his honest concerns about why the experimental synthetic injection is the wrong technology to use against Covid19 and the extreme danger it poses to young people. Did you do any research on that before you simply believed the medical community who is owned by Big Pharma and is economically benefitting from pushing this experiment? I'm going to say no you did zero research on your own. Simply watching CNN or MSNBC is not research. You must dig deep because big tech and big Pharma are censoring this information. Just like LVJUSD's meeting with Axis Health on June 29, 2021 did when parents started asking specific scientific questions from your 'so called' expert 'doctors'. They booted us out of the ZOOM meeting, why is that? Why are they afraid to answer scientific questions? I can tell you why. Do you understand what a mRNA vaccine is, or what it is designed to do? Do you know that courageous Doctors around the world are risking their careers to try to tell you the truth about this dangerous vaccine? The doctors and academics we've spoken to have told us they are being threatened with the taking of their medical licenses and scientific research budgets. Just like the Doctor from John Muir who penned the article last year about how the ER rooms were NOT overridden with Covid cases. He was fired for telling the truth. We have done our research and we've met directly with doctors and scientists who have spoken to us directly and frankly.

Bottom line is the LVJUSD Board and district have zero credibility. You have not asked any questions about any of this contradictory information that has been set up as 'guidelines. Furthermore "guidelines, orders, mandates etc" are NOT law or science.

The good news is, we all have NOTHING to fear. The virus is no more deadly than the seasonal flu that can turn into pneumonia. Actually, the seasonal flu is more dangerous. But we've never shutdown an entire economy over it now have we. Why this time? I know why... do you? It is time to open the Board Meetings, open up the schools, do away with the social distancing, that has no basis in science, and get back to normal. The only thing you need to be concerned about are all those people injecting themselves with the synthetic pathogen. Over 6,000 people have died from this so far and according to the CDC VAERS site this only represent less than 1% of actual numbers. Since the CDC said that the death count from Covid was approximately only 6% of the media propagandized numbers, looks like the experimental injection deaths have already surpassed Covid. According to Dr. Mikovits, Dr. Tenpenny, Dr. Christine Northrup, Dr. Carrie Madej, Dr. Simone Gold, and many many others, warn that masks are dangerous and the study design for the hasty, phase three clinical trials has produced fraudulent data points which will lead to misleading public health guidelines and future harm to human recipients. The harm they detailed includes the development of auto-antibodies to polyethylene glycol (PEG) that will not only make the vaccine less effective but also cause allergic reactions and deadly adverse events when the immune systems of the population who have been injected start to fight off germs and virus this coming year.

So, in conclusion, it's time to drop the fear-based propaganda you've been brainwashed into believing. There is something nefarious going on and you are destroying the lives of children with your support for illegal "guidelines, mandates and orders". Is it because you too fear your budgets will be affected? Well, when you have a mass exodus of students from your school system then maybe you will finally wake up. Stop being foolish and arrogant and uninformed.

We look forward to ALL of your responses that you will actually follow the LAW, not illegal guidelines and mandates, protect the children and drop the fear based propaganda in the coming school year.

Respectfully informed on the law and science,

Jackie Cota

[i] <https://www.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.4221>

[ii] Berenson, A (November 24, 2020). *Unreported Truths about Covid-19 and Lockdowns: Part 3: Masks*

[iii] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4420971/>

[iv] <https://www.merriam-webster.com/words-at-play/virus-vs-bacteria-difference>

[v] The pathology and bacteriology of pneumonia following influenza. Chapter IV, Epidemic respiratory disease. The pneumonias and other infections of the respiratory tract accompanying influenza and measles, 1921 St, Louis CV Mosby (p. 107-281)

[vi] <https://academic.oup.com/jid/article/198/7/962/2192118>

[vii] <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/cloth-face-cover-guidance.html>

[viii] Nonpharmaceutical Measures for Pandemic Influenza in Nonhealthcare Settings—Personal Protective and Environmental Measures, Jingyi Xiao¹, Eunice Y. C. Shiu¹, Huizhi Gao, Jessica Y. Wong, Min W. Fong, Sukhyun Ryu, and Benjamin J. Cowling (Volume 26, Number 5, May of 2020).

[ix] Arthur Johnson, Journal of Biological Engineering (2016).

[x] The Physiological Impact of N95 Masks on Medical Staff, National Taiwan University Hospital (June 2005).

[xi] Bader A et al. Preliminary report on surgical mask induced deoxygenation during major surgery. *Neurocirugia* 2008;19:12-126..

[xii] <https://www.world-today-news.com/70-doctors-in-open-letter-to-ben-weyts-abolish-mandatory-mouth-mask-at-school-belgium/>

[xiii] <https://www.fda.gov/media/137121/download>

[xiv] <https://ca.childrenshealthdefense.org/wp-content/uploads/CDE-Superintendent-Letter0from-Childrens-Health-Defense-California-Chapter.pdf>

[xv] 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3 (The FD&C Act)

[xvi] 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A) (“Section 360bbb-3”)

[xvii] C.F.R. § 50.20

[xviii] <http://www.invertedalchemy.com/2020/12/belief-is-not-medical-counter-measure.html>, 21 C.F.R. § 50.23, 21 C.F.R. § 50.20 21 C.F.R. § 50.24

[xix] FTC Act, 15 U.S. Code § 41